

The Association between Family Income and Support for Wealth Redistribution across ages in the United States



Edwin Cheah, Applied Data Analysis, Wesleyan University

Introduction

- Income Inequality in the Status Quo: As of 2016, those classified as upperincome families collectively possessed 75 times as much wealth as lowerincome families. This figure has risen from the factor of 28 times in 1983 (Horowitz et al., 2020).
- Support for redistribution in the US has not intensified at the same rate as the growth of income inequality (Trump, 2021).
- Current Findings: Past literature suggests that an individual's wealth and age group are significant explanatory variables of the likelihood of support for wealth redistribution
 - There is a negative association between the level of wealth for an individual and support for redistributive policies (Barr & Miller, 2020; Cohn et al., 2023; Walker et al., 2021).
 - Individuals aged older are more likely to support wealth redistribution (Michał Litwiński et al., 2023).
- Gap in Literature: Past literature mostly addresses the separate effect of age group and wealth on likelihood on one's support for wealth redistribution.
 - There is little empirical work the interaction of these explanatory variables. This study addresses this gap by investigating such an interaction.

Methods

Sample

- Respondents (N=2204) were drawn from the 2021 General Social Survey (GSS), a nationally representative sample of non-institutionalized adults in the U.S.
- The subset drawn contains only the responses where data collected on support for wealth redistribution, level of family income, and respondent age are all nonempty.

Measures

- We have three main variables of interest defined as follows:
 - Support for Wealth Redistribution (0/1): A binary response variable indicating one's support for wealth government redistribution policies to reduce inequality.
 - Household Income Group (1-6): A categorical explanatory variable coded from 1-6 to represent household income groups. Greater income groups were represented with greater numerical values.
 - Age (18-40, 40-75,>75): A categorical explanatory response variable given 3 unique values. Age groups are defined such that the groups capture ranges 18-40 years of age, 40-75 years of age, and those >75 years of age.

Research Questions

- 1. Are Americans with higher household income more likely to support government schemes for wealth redistribution?
- 2. Does the association between household income and likelihood to support income redistribution differ by age?

Results

Bivariate

Multivariate

income redistribution.

- Chi-Square analysis indicates that an individual in a higher family income bracket is a significant predictor or likelihood for supporting wealth redistribution (X-squared = 38.532, pvalue = 2.949e-07
- Logistic (MLE) regression indicates a significant negative association between the support for wealth redistribution and family income, (OR = 0.799, p = 1.57e-08). See Figure 1.

Multivariate logistic (MLE) regression

level are both significant explanatory

At each age group, an increase in

wealth redistribution (Figure 2).

variables for an individual's support for

household income group is associated

with a decrease in likelihood to support

indicates that age group and family income

Household Income Group

Figure 1: Likelihood of Support for Wealth Redistribution across Income Ranges.

Age Group 18-40 years of age 40-75 years of age >75 years of age

Figure 2: Likelihood of Support for Income Redistribution

Discussion

- Americans hailing from higher income households are associated with lower likelihood to support wealth redistribution for all age groups.
- There is insufficient evidence to suggest that the association between household income group and the likelihood for support for redistribution differs by age group
- (Observation) Younger age groups on average have higher levels of support for income redistribution (Figure 2).
- Notably, findings are subject to voluntary response bias and small sample sizes.
 - The GSS (2021) shifted to web-based self-administration. Potential error from self-reporting.
 - Low response rate (17.4%): respondents likely to differ systematically from non-respondents. Prone to voluntary response bias
- This study analyzes cross-sectional data. An interesting extension would be to investigate the trend of support for wealth redistribution with time to verify claim by Trump. This requires time-series data.

References

Barr, A., & Miller, L. (2020). The effect of education, income inequality and merit on inequality acceptance. Journal of Economic Psychology, 102276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2020.102276 Cohn, A., Jessen, L. J., Klašnja, M., & Smeets, P. (2023). Wealthy Americans and redistribution: The role of fairness

preferences. Journal of Public Economics, 225, 104977. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2023.104977 Horowitz, J., Igielnik, R., & Kochhar, R. (2020, January 9). Trends in U.S. Income and Wealth Inequality. Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2020/01/09/trends-in-income-and-wealth-

Michał Litwiński, Rafał Iwański, & Tomczak, Ł. (2023). Acceptance for Income Inequality in Poland. Social Indicators Research, 166(2), 381–412. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-023-03072-2 Trump, K.-S. (2021). Public Opinion and Reactions to Increasing Income Inequality. Who Gets What?, 79–102. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108879170.004

Walker, J., Tepper, S. J., & Gilovich, T. (2021). People are more tolerant of inequality when it is expressed in terms of individuals rather than groups at the top. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(43). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2100430118

redistribution and age group was found to be statistically insignificant by Nested

The interaction term between Income

Model Test (p=0.7171)

across Income Ranges for each age group

Household Income Group